



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/426,063	10/22/1999	GREGORY J. MESAROS	GEDP106US	1360	
23623	7590 04/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AMIN & TU		RUDY, ANDREW J			
1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 24TH FLOOR, CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/426,063	MESAROS, GREGORY J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u> </u>					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	·					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 and 39-50 are pending. The previous rejections are withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's December 31, 2004 Amendment and REMARKS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-8 and 39-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salmon et al., US 5,897,620.

Salmon discloses a real-time computer implemented method comprising offering a plurality of deals for at least one product, e.g. database 200, Fig. 2a-f, and service offered by at least one seller including a plurality of price and non-price buying criteria, e.g. col. 9, lines 14-21. Salmon does not disclose the term distribution. It is note that distribution of products and services are inherent with the business community.

Nonetheless, to have provided non-price distribution criteria for Salmon would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been the incorporation of common knowledge product distribution criteria in the transfer of goods from seller to buyer.

Applicant's REMARKS have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3627

3. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Seymour et al., US 6,871,190, discloses examples of some notoriously well known price and non-price e.g. car color, buying criteria.

Mandler et al., US 6,785,661, discloses examples of some notoriously well known price and non-price e.g. risk classification, buying criteria.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/426,063

Art Unit: 3627

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808 (571-272-6789 after April 13, 2005). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anchew Joseph Fredy

Page 4